

## IDENTITIES AND LINEAR DEPENDENCE

BY

S. A. AMITSUR

## ABSTRACT

Central polynomial identities are used to construct alternating central identities by which new identities are obtained. These identities express the linear dependence of  $n^2 + 1$  generic matrices, and so yield slight generalizations and simplified proofs of a result of Formanek, the theorem about Azumaya algebras of M. Artin and a recent result of Cauchon.

Among the many central identities of a matrix ring we introduce new identities  $\delta[x_1, \dots, x_{n^2}, y_1, \dots, y_m]$  which are alternating, homogeneous and linear only in the  $x_i$ , which can be obtained from any regular central identity. These new types of identities yield a linear dependence  $\sum (-1)^{i-1} \cdot \delta[x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n^2}; y_1, \dots, y_m] x_i = 0$  which holds in every prime and semi-prime ring of "identity degree  $n$ ". This linear dependence yields rather easily a result of Formanek [5] on the embedding of a prime PI-ring into a free  $C$ -module. Moreover, the generalization of this result when combined with an idea of Rowen [8] on the behavior of regular central identities yields a direct relatively simple proof for the famous M. Artin-Procesi theorem ([3],[6]) on Azumaya algebras. The present proof differs from all other known proofs ([1],[3],[6],[8]) as it does not use any trace arguments and no reduction to prime rings or to homomorphic images of a generic matrix ring. In fact it enables us to prove that if  $R$  satisfies a certain identity  $d_{n^2+1}[x; y] = 0$  and has a regular central identity which does not vanish on all simple images  $R/M$ , then  $R$  is an Azumaya algebra, which is far less than the assumption that all identities of  $M_n(Z)$  hold in  $R$ . Finally, this identity is used to prove along parallel lines the result of Formanek that a semi-prime PI-ring  $R$  with a noetherian center is  $C$ -noetherian, and a recent result of Cauchon ([9]) that ACC on two-sided ideals implies that  $R$  is noetherian.

**1. The polynomials  $d_m[x; y]$**

As a basic polynomial for generating identities of a matrix ring  $M_n(K)$ , we shall use the following polynomials:

$$(1) \quad d_m[x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}] = \sum \text{sg } \sigma x_{\sigma(1)}y_1x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(m-1)}y_{m-1}x_{\sigma(m)}$$

where  $\sigma$  ranges over all permutations of  $\{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ . Our first result is:

**THEOREM 1a.** *If  $K$  is a commutative ring with a unit, then  $M_n(K)$  satisfies  $d_m[x, y] = 0$  for all  $m \geq n^2 + 1$ , and for every  $i \neq k$  there is a substitution for  $x$ 's and  $y$ 's so that  $d_n^2[x; y] = c_{ik}$ , where  $c_{ik}$  are the matrix units.*

**PROOF.** The polynomials  $d_m[x; y]$  satisfy a recursive relation like determinants, that is:

$$(2) \quad d_{m+1}[x_1, \dots, x_{m+1}; y_1, \dots, y_m] \\ = \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{i-1} d_m[x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{m+1}; y_1, \dots, y_{m-1}] y_m x_i.$$

Thus if  $d_m[x; y] = 0$  in  $M_n(K)$  for  $m = m_0$  then it will hold also for  $m \geq m_0$ .

Since  $d_m[x; y]$  is multilinear and homogeneous it suffices to show that  $d_m[x; y] = 0$  for substitutions of the indeterminates by elements taken from a linear base of  $M_n(K)$ , but as such we have only  $n^2$  different elements and so if  $m \geq n^2 + 1$ , at least two will be equal and hence  $d_m[x; y] = 0$ , since  $d_m[x; y] = 0$  if two of the  $x_i$ 's are equal.

To prove that  $d_n^2[x; y] = c_{ik}$ , we restrict ourselves to  $(i, k) = (1, n)$  and to this end we substitute for the set  $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n^2}\}$  the ordered set  $\{c_{11}, c_{12}, \dots, c_{1n}; c_{21}, \dots, c_{2n}, \dots, c_{n1}, \dots, c_{nn}\}$  and for  $\{y_1, \dots, y_{n^2-1}\}$  the set of  $\{c_{11}, c_{21}, \dots, c_{n-1,1}, c_{n2}, c_{12}, \dots, c_{1n}, \dots, c_{n-1,n}\}$ . Namely, the  $y$ 's are chosen so that the monomial  $x_1y_1x_2y_2 \cdots y_{n^2-1}x_{n^2}$  will be equal to  $c_{1n}$ . In fact, this is the only monomial which will yield a nonzero element, since if  $y_\lambda x_{\lambda+1} \neq 0$  then  $x_\lambda$  is uniquely determined, and therefore if  $x_{\sigma(1)}y_1x_{\sigma(2)} \cdots x_{\sigma(n^2-1)}y_{n^2-1}x_{\sigma(n^2)}$  yields a nonzero element then  $x_{\sigma(i)} = x_i$  for  $i = 2, \dots, n^2 - 1$ . Moreover, since  $y_1 = c_{11}$  we must have  $x_{\sigma(1)} = c_{a1}$  and the only one of this form available is  $c_{11}$  which is equal to  $x_1$ . Thus  $\sigma(1) = 1$ , and similarly  $x_{\sigma(n)} = c_{nn} = x_n$ . Thus  $\sigma = \text{identity}$  and this completes the proof that  $d_n^2(x; y) = c_{1n}$ .

If  $R$  is a prime ring (an algebra over a commutative ring  $K$ ) which satisfies a polynomial identity then its ring of quotients  $Q(R)$  is a central simple algebra of dimension  $n^2$  over its center, and we define  $\text{pid}(R) = n$  (-polynomial identity

degree). If  $R$  is a semi-prime ring with a polynomial identity then we set  $\text{pid}(R) = \max \text{pid}(R/P)$  where  $P$  ranges over all prime ideals  $P$  of  $R$ . Clearly, this generalizes the definition of  $\text{pid}$  for prime rings.

We can extend Theorem 1a to semi-prime rings.

**THEOREM 1b:** *If  $R$  is a semi-prime  $K$ -algebra and  $\text{pid}(R) = n$  then  $R$  satisfies  $d_m[x; y] = 0$  for  $m \geq n^2 + 1$ ; and  $d_{n^2}[x; y] \neq 0$  in  $R$ .*

**PROOF:** Consider first the case of a prime ring  $R$ ; then  $R$  and its ring of quotients  $Q(R)$  satisfy the same identities. If the center  $C$  of  $Q(R)$  is finite then  $R = Q(R) = M_n(C)$  and so Theorem 1a coincides with our case. If  $C$  is infinite, choose splitting field  $F$  of  $Q(R)$ . That is,  $Q(R) \otimes F = M_n(F)$  and  $M_n(F), Q(R)$  have the same identities; hence the fact that  $d_m[x; y] = 0$  in  $M_n(F)$  for  $m \geq n^2 + 1$  implies that it holds also in  $Q(R)$  as well as in  $R$ . Also  $d_{n^2}[x; y] \neq 0$  in  $M_n(F)$  and since it is homogeneous and multilinear and  $Q(R) = RC, Q(R) \otimes F = M_n(F)$ , the polynomial  $d_{n^2}[x; y]$  cannot vanish on  $R$ .

If  $R$  is semi-prime then  $R$  is a subdirect sum of the prime rings  $R/P$  which satisfy  $d_m[x; y] = 0$  for  $m \geq n^2 + 1$ , so it holds also in  $R$ . At least one of  $R/P$  has  $\text{pid}(R/P) = n$ , and there  $d_{n^2}[x; y] \neq 0$ ; hence this polynomial does not vanish in  $R$ .

## 2. Alternating central identities

A polynomial  $p[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_l]$  is said to be an alternating polynomial (in the  $x$ 's) if  $p[x; y]$  is a homogeneous polynomial multilinear only in  $x_1, \dots, x_m$  and vanishes if two of the  $x_i$  are made equal. The following follows readily:

**LEMMA 2.** *A polynomial  $p[x_1, \dots, x_m, y_1, \dots, y_l]$  is alternating in the  $x$ 's if and only if it has the form:*

$$(3) \quad p[x; y] = \sum_{(\tau)} p_{\tau_0} d_m[x_1, \dots, x_m; p_{\tau_1}, \dots, p_{\tau_{m-1}}] p_{\tau_m}$$

where the  $p_{\tau_i}$  are polynomials in the  $y$ 's only.

Indeed, write  $p[x, y] = \sum_{\rho, \sigma} \alpha_{\rho\sigma} l_{\rho_0} x_{\sigma(1)} l_{\rho_1} x_{\sigma(2)} \dots l_{\rho_{m-1}} x_{\sigma(m)} l_{\rho_m}$  where  $l_{\rho_i}$  are monomials in the  $y$ 's and the  $\alpha_{\rho\sigma}$  are scalar (of  $K$ ). Since it is multilinear in the  $x$ 's and alternating, it follows readily that the interchanging of two  $x_i$  will yield a change of sign of  $p[x; y]$ , and this readily implies that  $\alpha_{\rho\sigma} = \alpha_{\rho} \text{sg } \sigma$  where  $\text{sg } \sigma$  is the sign of the respective permutation. Summing up  $\sum_{\rho\sigma} = \sum_{\rho} \sum_{\sigma}$  clearly yields (3). The converse is evident.

A polynomial  $\delta[x; y]$  will be called an *alternating central identity* of a ring  $R$ , if  $\delta[x; y]$  is alternating in the  $x$ 's and it is a central identity for  $R$ , that is,  $\delta[x; y]$  is the center of  $R$  for all values of  $x$  and  $y$  but it is not identically zero.

**THEOREM 3.** *Let  $R$  be a semi-prime ring with  $\text{pid}(R) = n$ , then  $R$  has an alternating central identity  $\delta[x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_m]$ , which holds also for all  $M_n(K)$ ,  $K$  an arbitrary commutative ring; and for each alternating identity the relation*

$$(4) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n^2+1} (-1)^i \delta[x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n^2+1}; y_1, \dots, y_m] x_i = 0$$

holds in  $R$ . ( $\hat{x}_i$  denotes the omitting of the  $x_i$  from the variables of  $\delta[x; y]$ ).

**PROOF.** Let  $q[u_0, u_1, \dots, u_t]$  be any central identity for  $M_n(K)$  which holds for every commutative ring  $K$  (with a unit) and which is homogeneous and linear in at least one of the indeterminates, say  $u_0$ . (These were called *regular central identities* in [8].) Such a central identity exists, e.g. the Formanek or Razmyslov polynomials ([4], [7]). Consider the polynomial:

$$\delta[x; y] = q[d_{n^2}[x_1, \dots, x_{n^2}; y_1, \dots, y_{n^2-1}]y_{n^2}; y_{n^2+1}, \dots, y_m]$$

where  $m = n^2 + t$ .  $\delta[x; y]$  is obtained from  $q[u]$  by the replacements  $u_0 = d_{n^2}[x_1, \dots, x_{n^2}; y_1, \dots, y_{n^2-1}]y_{n^2}$  and  $u_j = y_{n^2+j}$  for  $j \geq 1$ . Since  $q[u]$  is homogeneous and linear in  $u_0$ ,  $\delta[x; y]$  will be alternating in the  $x$ 's. To prove that it is a central identity we consider first the case where  $R$  is a prime ring. Again if the center of the ring of quotients  $Q(R)$  is finite then  $R = Q(R) = M_n(C)$ ; since  $q[a_0, a_1, \dots, a_t] \neq 0$  for some  $a_i \in R$  and is linear in  $u_0$ , then  $q[c_{ik}, a_1, \dots, a_t] \neq 0$  for some matrix unit  $c_{ik}$ . It follows, by Theorem 1a, that  $d_{n^2}[x; y] = c_{ij}$  for  $j \neq i$  for some substitution in  $M_n(C)$ , and thus if we set  $y_{n^2} = c_{ik}$  and  $y_{n^2+\lambda} = a_\lambda$  we get  $\delta[x; y] = q[c_{ik}, a_1, \dots, a_t] \neq 0$ . The same proof shows that  $\delta[x; y]$  does not vanish in any matrix ring  $M_n(K)$  over any commutative ring  $K$ . Hence, if the center of  $Q(R)$  is an infinite field,  $R$  and  $Q(R) = RC$  and  $Q(R) \otimes F \cong M_n(F)$  will have the same identities and in particular  $\delta[x; y]$  which does not vanish in  $M_n(F)$  will not vanish in  $R$ . On the other hand it gets only central values in  $M_n(F)$  and hence it is a central alternating identity for  $R$ .

Next, if  $R$  is semi-prime, then  $R$  is a subdirect sum of the prime rings  $R/P$  and, at least for one  $P$ ,  $\text{pid}(R/P) = n$ . Now,  $\delta[x; y]$  is a central identity for  $R/P$  of  $\text{pid} = n$ , and if  $\text{pid}(R/P) < n$  then  $\delta[x; y] = 0$  in  $R/P$  since central identities of  $M_n(K)$  are identities for  $M_m(K)$  for  $m < n$ . Thus  $\delta[x; y]$  is a central identity for  $R$  since it does not vanish for at least one  $R/P$ , and this completes the proof of the first part of our theorem.

Let  $p[x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_r]$  be any alternating polynomial (not necessarily central); then it follows by (3) and (2) that:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} (-1)^{i-1} p[x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{m+1}; y_1, \dots, y_r] x_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \sum_{\tau} (-1)^{i-1} p_{\tau_0} d_m[x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{m+1}; p_{\tau_1}, \dots, p_{\tau_{m-1}}] p_{\tau_m} x_i \\ &= \sum_{\tau} p_{\tau_0} d_{m+1}[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{m+1}; p_{\tau_1}, \dots, p_{\tau_{m-1}}, p_{\tau_m}]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular this implies a more general result than (4):

**COROLLARY 4.** *If  $R$  is any ring satisfying the identity  $d_{n^2+1}[x; y] = 0$  (e.g.  $R$  is a semi-prime ring with  $\text{pid}(R) = n$ ), and  $p[x_1, \dots, x_{n^2}; y_1, \dots, y_r]$  is any alternating polynomial in  $n^2$  indeterminates  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  then  $R$  satisfies the identity:*

$$(5) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n^2+1} (-1)^{i-1} p[x_1, \dots, \hat{x}_i, \dots, x_{n^2+1}; y_1, \dots, y_r] x_i = 0.$$

Indeed,  $R$  satisfies the identity  $d_{n^2+1}[x; y] = 0$  by Theorem 1b, and thus (5) follows by the relation proved above for  $m = n^2$ .

Finally (5) is the required relation (4) of our theorem.

**REMARK.** Note that (4) yields the linear dependence of  $n^2 + 1$  generic matrices in the ring generated by these matrices.

The proof of Theorem 3 actually proves the more general case:

**COROLLARY 5.** *If  $R$  is a  $K$ -algebra which satisfies  $d_{n^2+1}[x; y] = 0$  and  $R$  has a regular central identity  $q[u]$  which does not vanish on all matrix rings  $M_n(\bar{K})$ ,  $\bar{K}$  homomorphic image of  $K$ , and if either  $\text{pid}(R) = n$  or  $q[u]$  attains non nil elements, then  $R$  has an alternating central identity  $\delta[x_1, \dots, x_{n^2}; y_1, \dots, y_m]$  with the same property and (4) holds in  $R$ .*

In the proof of Theorem 3, the existence of a regular central identity  $q[u]$  was known for prime rings and hence for semi-prime rings with  $\text{pid}(R) = n$ . In the present case, we again choose  $\delta[x; y] = q[d_{n^2}[x; y] y_{n^2}, y_{n^2-1}, \dots, y_m]$  and it remains only to show that  $\delta[x; y] \neq 0$  in  $R$ . Indeed, since  $d_{n^2+1}[x; y] = 0$  holds also in every  $R/P$ ,  $P$  a prime ideal in  $R$ , it follows that  $\text{pid}(R/P) \leq n$ . If  $\text{pid}(R/P) = n$  for some  $P$  then we can reproduce the proof of Theorem 3. Otherwise,  $q[a] \in \cap P = \text{Lower radical}$ , and so  $q[a]$  is nil, which is impossible in our case.

**3. Application I**

We apply (4) to obtain a refinement of a result of Formanek [5]; but first we introduce the following:

Let  $R$  be a ring with a center  $C$  and assume that  $R$  has an alternating central identity  $\delta[x; y] = \delta[x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_t]$ . Denote by  $\Delta$  the additive group generated by all values  $\{\delta[a; b]\}$ ,  $a_j, b_j \in R$ ; then  $\Delta$  is an ideal in  $C$  and by definition  $\Delta \neq 0$ . Indeed for every  $c \in C$ ,  $\delta[a_1, \dots, a_m; b_1, \dots]c = \delta[a_1c, a_2 \dots]$  since  $\delta[x; y]$  is linear and homogeneous in  $x_1$ , and by definition  $\Delta$  is an additive subgroup of  $C$ .

**THEOREM 6.** *If  $R$  satisfies  $d_{m+1}[x; y] = 0$  and  $\delta[x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots]$  is an alternating central identity for  $R$ , (e.g. if  $R$  is semi-prime and  $\text{pid}(R) = n$  then such identities exist for  $m = n^2$ ), then*

- 1) for every ideal  $A$  of  $R$ ,  $\Delta A \subseteq (A \cap C)R \subseteq A$ ;
- 2) the ring of quotients  $R_\delta$  is a free  $C_\delta$ -module of rank  $m$  for every  $\delta \in \Delta$  for which  $R_\delta \neq 0$  (i.e.  $\delta^h R \neq 0$  for all  $h \geq 0$ );
- 3) If, for some  $\delta \in \Delta$ ,  $\delta$  is a nonzero divisor in  $C$  then there exists a subalgebra  $A = \sum_{i=1}^m C a_i$  which is free of rank  $m$  over  $C$  and for which  $\delta^2 R \subseteq A \subseteq R$ ; moreover,  $R$  can be embedded in a free  $C$ -module of rank  $m$ .

Indeed, consider the coefficients of (4) and note that if  $a \in A$ , then  $\delta[r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, a, r_{i+1}, \dots, r_m; s_1, \dots] \in A \cap C$ . Consequently, for  $a \in A$  and  $r_i, s_j \in R$ , it follows by (4) that

$$(6) \quad \delta[r_1, \dots, r_{n^2}; s_1, \dots]a = \sum_i \pm \delta[r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, a, r_{i+1}, \dots]r_i \in (A \cap C)R,$$

from which (1) follows immediately.

To prove (2), let  $\delta = \delta[r_1, \dots, r_{n^2}; s_1, \dots] \in \Delta$ ; then it follows by (4) that, for every  $r \in R$ ,  $\delta r = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i r_i$ , where  $c_i = \delta[r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, r, \dots] \in C$ . Hence the ring of quotients  $R_\delta$  is generated over  $C_\delta$  by  $r_1, \dots, r_{n^2}$ . These elements are also  $C_\delta$ -independent: for let  $\sum c_j r_j = 0$  in  $R_\delta$ , i.e.  $\sum \delta^k c_j r_j = 0$  for some power  $\delta^k$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \delta \left[ r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, \sum \delta^k c_j r_j, r_{i+1}, \dots, r_m; s_1, \dots, s_t \right] \\ &= \sum_j \delta^k c_j \delta[r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_j; r_{i+1}, \dots, r_m; s_1, \dots, s_t] = \delta^{k+1} c_i \end{aligned}$$

since, for all  $j \neq i$ ,  $\delta[r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_j, \dots] = 0$  as two of its entries are equal, and for  $i = j$ ,  $\delta[r_1, \dots, r_{i-1}, r_i, \dots] = \delta$ . The last relation means that for all  $i$ ,  $c_i = 0$  in  $R_\delta$ .

Q.E.D.

Part (3) follows now easily, for let  $\delta = \delta[r_1, \dots, r_n; s_1, \dots] \neq 0$  and choose  $a_i = \delta r_i$ . It follows by (4) that  $\delta r = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i r_i$ ,  $c_i \in C$  and hence  $a_i a_j = \delta(\delta r_i r_j) = \delta \sum c_{ijk} r_k = \sum c_{ijk} a_k$ , i.e.  $A = \sum C a_i$  is a subalgebra of  $R$ . Furthermore, the previous relation shows that  $\delta^2 R \subseteq \delta \sum C r_i = \sum C a_i = A$ . Finally, the  $\{a_i\}$  and the  $\{r_i\}$  are  $C$ -independent, for if  $\sum c_i r_i = 0$  then, as in the previous proof, it follows that  $\delta^k c_i = 0$ , and thus  $c_i = 0$ . Clearly  $R$  is embeddable in the free  $C$ -module  $\sum C(r_i/\delta)$  in  $R_\delta$ .

This extends a result which was proved for prime rings by Formanek ([5]).

**4. Application II: M. Artin theorem**

We apply the previous results combined with an idea of Rowen [8] to obtain a straightforward proof of the famous theorem of M. Artin ([3]), which we prove in a more general but in a slightly different form:

**THEOREM 7.** *Let  $R$  be an algebra with a unit; then  $R$  is an Azumaya algebra of rank  $n^2$  if and only if  $R$  satisfies the identity  $d_{n^2-1}[x; y] = 0$  and*

(A)  *$R$  has an alternating central identity  $\delta[x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_m]$  which does not vanish in every quotient  $R/M$ ,  $M$  a maximal ideal in  $R$ .*

A condition equivalent to (A) is:

(A')  *$R$  has a regular central identity of the type of Corollary 5 and for every maximal ideal  $R/M$  is central simple of dimension  $n^2$  over its center (or equivalently  $d_{n^2}[x; y] \neq 0$  in  $R/M$ ).*

**PROOF.** First we assume (A) and we prove that for every prime ideal  $\mathfrak{P}$  in the center  $C$  of  $R$  there exists a prime ideal  $P$  in  $R$  such that  $P \cap C \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$  and  $P \supseteq \mathfrak{P}$  (hence  $P \cap C = \mathfrak{P}$ ).

Indeed, let  $P$  be an ideal in  $R$  maximal with respect to the property  $P \cap C \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ . Then  $P$  is a prime ideal, for if  $AB \subseteq P$  with  $A, B$  two ideals containing  $P$ , then  $(A \cap C)(B \cap C) \subseteq AB \cap C \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ . Since  $\mathfrak{P}$  is prime, say  $A \cap C \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ , then by the maximality of  $P$  it follows that  $A \subseteq P$  as required. The alternating central polynomial  $\delta[x; y]$  does not vanish on  $R/P$  since it does not vanish on some  $R/M$  for some maximal  $M \supseteq P$ , so let  $\delta_0 = \delta[a_1, \dots, b_m] \notin P$ . Assume that  $P \cap C \neq \mathfrak{P}$  and let  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}$ ,  $\alpha \notin P$ ; then since  $P$  is a prime ideal,  $\alpha \delta_0 \notin P$  and, therefore,  $(\alpha \delta_0 R + P) \cap C \not\subseteq \mathfrak{P}$  by the maximality of  $P$ . Consequently,  $\beta = \alpha \delta_0 r + p$  for some central  $\beta \notin \mathfrak{P}$  and  $p \in P$ . In the quotient ring  $R/P = \bar{R}$  we have  $\bar{\beta} = \bar{\alpha} \bar{\delta}_0 \bar{r}$  and  $\bar{r} \in \text{Cent}(\bar{R})$ ; thus  $\bar{\delta}_0 \bar{r} = \delta[\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{b}_m] \bar{r} = \delta[\bar{a}_1 \bar{r}, \dots, \bar{b}_m] = \bar{\delta}_1$ , where  $\delta_1 = \delta[a_1 r, \dots, b_m] \in C$ , and hence  $\bar{\beta} = \bar{\alpha} \bar{\delta}_1$ . It follows, therefore, that  $\beta - \alpha \delta_1 \in P$  and, as  $\beta, \alpha \delta_1 \in C$ ,  $\beta - \alpha \delta_1 \in P \cap C \subseteq \mathfrak{P}$ ; but  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{P}$  and so  $\beta \in \mathfrak{P}$ , which is a contradiction. Hence  $\mathfrak{P} \subseteq P \cap C \subseteq P$ .

Now let  $\mathfrak{M}$  be a maximal ideal in  $C$ ; then by our previous result there exists a maximal ideal  $M$  in  $R$  such that  $M \supseteq \mathfrak{M}$ . By assumption  $\delta[x; y]$  does not vanish on  $R/M$  so we get  $\delta = \delta[a; b] \not\equiv 0 \pmod{M}$  and hence  $\delta \notin \mathfrak{M}$ . It follows now by (2) of Theorem 6 that  $R_\delta$  is a free  $C_\delta$ -module of rank  $n^2$ ; this proves by Bourbaki [2] (II, theor. 2, p. 141) that  $R$  is  $C$ -projective of rank  $n^2$ . Finally, by (1) of Theorem 6,  $\delta M \subseteq (M \cap C)R \subseteq \mathfrak{M}R$ . Since  $\mathfrak{M}$  is maximal and  $\delta \notin \mathfrak{M}$  we have  $\alpha\delta \equiv 1 \pmod{\mathfrak{M}}$  for  $\alpha \in C$  and therefore,  $M \subseteq \alpha\delta M + \mathfrak{M}R \subseteq \mathfrak{M}R \subseteq M$ . Consequently,  $M = \mathfrak{M}R$  and thus  $R/\mathfrak{M}R = R/M$  is by assumption a central simple algebra of  $\dim n^2$  over its center. Thus, by Bourbaki [2] (def. 14 of II, §5, p. 180),  $R$  is an Azumaya algebra of rank  $n^2$ . Note, that a simple consequence of this result is  $\Delta = C$ , since  $\Delta \not\subseteq \mathfrak{M}$  for every maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

The converse: if  $R$  is an Azumaya algebra of rank  $n^2$  then  $R$  satisfies all identities of  $M_n(Z)$ ; in particular it will satisfy  $d_{n^2+1}[x; y] = 0$  and, by Theorem 3, it has an alternating central identity which does not vanish in every  $M_n(\bar{K})$  and hence also in every  $R/M$ .

Finally, (A') is equivalent to (A): for if  $R$  satisfies (A') then by Corollary 5,  $R$  has an alternating central identity  $\delta[x; y]$  which does not vanish for all  $M_n(\bar{K})$ . Now, each  $R/M$  is a prime algebra over some  $\bar{K} = K + M/M$  and  $R/M$  is by assumption central simple of dimension  $n^2$  over its center; hence it follows by the proof of Theorem 3 that  $\delta[x; y]$  will not vanish on  $R/M$ . Conversely if (A) holds, then since  $R/M$  satisfies  $d_{n^2+1}[x; y] = 0$  it follows that  $\text{pid}(R/M) \leq n$ . On the other hand, the fact that  $\delta[x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots, y_n] \neq 0$  on  $R/M$  and that  $\delta[x; y]$  vanishes if the  $n^2 x_i$  are linearly dependent over the center of  $R/M$  proves that  $\text{pid}(R/M) = n$ , i.e.  $R/M$  is central simple of dimension  $n^2$  over its center. Furthermore, the existence of such an identity follows from the fact that  $R$  satisfies all identities of  $M_n(Z)$ . Note that in the proof one needs only that the regular identity of  $R$  will not necessarily hold for all  $M_n(\bar{K})$  as in Corollary 5, but for those  $\bar{K} = K/K \cap M \cong K + M/M$ ,  $M$  maximal ideals of  $R$ .

An immediate consequence of the fact that  $\Delta = C$  for an algebra satisfying Theorem 7 is that:

**COROLLARY 7.** *If  $R$  satisfies Theorem 7 (i.e. an Azumaya algebra of rank  $n^2$ ) then  $A = (A \cap C)R$  for every ideal  $A$  in  $R$ . Generally, for arbitrary rings  $R$  which satisfy Theorem 6, if  $A \cap C + \Delta = C$  then  $(A \cap C)R = A$ .*

Indeed, by (1) of Theorem 6 it follows that  $A = CA = \subseteq \Delta A + (A \cap C)A \subseteq (A \cap C)R \subseteq A$ . For Azumaya algebras  $\Delta = C$ , and so this condition holds for all ideals  $A$ .



**5. Application III: noetherian PI-rings**

We again apply the previous result, in particular (4), to prove that under certain restrictions the ACC condition on two-sided ideals imply that the ring is right and left noetherian.

We consider ring  $R$  of the type described in Theorem 6, that is a ring  $R$  which satisfies  $d_{m+1}[x; y] = 0$  and has an alternative central identity  $\delta[x_1, \dots, x_m; y_1, \dots, y_t] = \delta[x; y]$ , and let  $\Delta$  be the ideal in  $C$  generated by the values  $\{\delta[a; b]\}$ . Then:

**THEOREM 8.** *If  $R$  is a ring with this property and satisfies the ascending chain condition (ACC) on two sided ideals, the  $R/(0: \Delta)$  is right and left noetherian, where*

$$(0: \Delta) = \{r \in R, R\Delta r = 0\}.$$

In particular if  $R$  is a prime PI-ring, it satisfies the requirement of this theorem, and furthermore  $\Delta (\neq 0)$  contains regular elements and so  $(0: \Delta) = 0$ . Hence,

**COROLLARY 9.** (Cauchon [9]). *A prime PI-ring with the ACC condition on two sided ideals is noetherian.*

This was the basic result of Cauchon from which it follows that the corollary holds also for semi-prime rings.

**PROOF.** Since  $R$  satisfies the ACC on two-sided ideals, it follows that  $R\Delta$  is finitely generated, i.e.  $R\Delta = \sum R\delta_i$ , where  $\delta_i = \delta[a_{i1}, \dots, a_{im}, b_{i1}, \dots]$ .

Let  ${}_R L$  be a left ideal in  $R$ , and the theorem will follow by showing that  $L$  is a finitely generated ideal mod  $(0: \Delta)$ :

For every  $x \in L$ , it follows by (4) that  $\delta_i x = \sum_{j=1}^m c_{ij}(x) a_{ij}$  where  $c_{ij}(x) \in C$ . Consider the set of all vectors  $c(x) = (c_{ij}(x))$  as elements of the direct sum  $\bigoplus R = R^{(m)}$  of copies of  $R$ . Since  $R$  is noetherian as an  $R$ - $R$  module, so is also  $R^{(m)}$ ; hence, the module  $N_L = \sum_{x \in L} R c(x)$ , which is a two-sided  $R$  submodule of  $R^{(m)}$ , is finitely generated. Consequently, there are  $u_1, \dots, u_k \in L$  such that  $N_L = \sum_{i=1}^k R c(u_i)$ .

Thus for every  $x \in L$ :  $c(x) = \sum a_p c(u_p)$ ,  $a_p \in R$  which means that  $c_{ij}(x) = \sum a_p c_{ij}(u_p)$ . It follows, therefore, by the definition of  $(c_{ij}(x))$ , that:

$$\delta_i x = \sum_j c_{ij}(x) a_{ij} = \sum_j \sum_p a_p c_{ij}(u_p) a_{ij} = \sum_p a_p \sum_j c_{ij}(u_p) a_{ij} = \sum_p \delta_i a_p u_p.$$

Hence,  $\delta_i(x - \sum a_p u_p) = 0$  which yields  $R\Delta(x - \sum a_p u_p) = 0$ . This proves that  $L \equiv \sum_p R u_p \pmod{(0: \Delta)}$ . Q.E.D.

We note that the same proof with a slight modification yields:

**THEOREM 10.** *Let  $R$  satisfy  $d_{m+1}[x; y] = 0$  and have an alternative central identity  $\delta[x; y]$ . If its center  $C$  is noetherian then  $R/(0: \Delta)$  is a noetherian  $C$ -module; and hence  $R$  is also right and left noetherian.*

Indeed replace  $R\Delta$  by  $\Delta$ , which is a  $C$ -ideal, and  $R^{(m)}$  by  $C^{(m)} = \bigoplus C$ , and the same arguments will yield the elements  $a_p \in C$ , and the rest is similar.

We conclude with two remarks on the ideal  $(0: \Delta)$  and on the existence of nonprime rings with an identity  $\delta[x; y]$  for which  $(0: \Delta) = 0$ .

**THEOREM 11.** *Let  $R$  be a semi-prime ring with  $\text{pid } R = n$ , and  $N_n = \bigcap \{P; \text{prime and } \text{pid}(R/P) = n\}$ . Then for every alternating central identity  $\delta[x; y]$  of Corollary 5 (which exists!) we have  $(0: \Delta) = N_n$ .*

Indeed, first note that  $\delta[x; y] = \delta[x_1, \dots, x_n; y_1, \dots] = 0$  in every quotient  $R/P$  for which  $\text{pid } R/P < n$ , since  $\delta[x; y] = 0$  vanishes if the  $x_i$  and dependent over the center of  $R/P$ , which is always the case if  $\text{pid } R/P < n$ . Hence,  $\Delta N_n \subseteq P$  for every prime ideal in  $R$  since either  $\Delta \subseteq P$  or  $N_n \subseteq P$ , and so  $\Delta N_n = \bigcap P = 0$  in the semi-prime ring  $R$ . Thus  $N_n \subseteq (0: \Delta)$ .

To prove the converse, let  $a \notin N_n$ ; then  $a \notin P$  for some prime  $P$  with  $\text{pid } R/P = n$ . But  $\delta[x; y] \not\equiv 0 \pmod{P}$  by the proof of Corollary 5, and so  $\delta[u; v]a \not\equiv 0 \pmod{P}$  for some  $u, v \in R$ . Thus  $a \notin (0: \Delta)$  and, therefore,  $(0: \Delta) \subseteq N_n$ . Q.E.D.

**REMARK.** It is worth pointing out that, with the exception of Application II, (Section 4)  $R$  is not assumed to contain a unit.

The last theorem enables us to introduce an induction process in handling semi-prime rings:

**LEMMA 12.** *Let  $R$  be a semi-prime ring with  $\text{pid } R = n$  with center  $C$ , then the subring  $R' = C + N_n$  is a semi-prime ring with the same center and  $\text{pid } R' < n$ .*

It is easily verified that  $R'$  is semi-prime. Let  $N'_n = \bigcap P$  where  $P$  ranges over all primes for which  $\text{pid } R/P < n$ ; then  $N'_n \cap N_n = 0$  in the semi-prime ring  $R$ . Hence,  $N_n \cong (N_n + N'_n)/N'_n \subseteq R/N'_n$  and so  $N_n$  will also satisfy  $d_{m+1}[x; y] = 0$  with  $m < n^2$ . Now  $R'$  is a central extension of  $N_n$  and therefore will also satisfy the same identity, which yields  $\text{pid } R' < n$ .

Also, if  $u \in N_n \cap \text{Cent}(R')$ , then for every  $r \in R$ ,  $a \in N_n$ ,  $0 = u(ar) - (ar)u = a(ur - ru)$ , and so  $ur - ru \in N_n \cap (0: N_n) = 0$ . Thus,  $u \in \text{Cent } R = C$ .

This induction process clearly yields Formanek's result:

COROLLARY 13. (Formanek [5]). *A semi-prime PI-ring  $R$  with a noetherian center  $C$  is  $C$ -noetherian and hence noetherian.*

The ring  $R/N_n$  is  $C$ -noetherian by Theorems 10 and 11. The  $C$ -module  $N_n$  is a submodule of  $R'$ , on which by the previous lemma we can use an induction on the pid of the ring. So  $N_n$  is also a noetherian  $C$ -module, and the proof follows now from the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N_n \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/N_n \rightarrow 0$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. S. A. Amitsur, *Polynomial identities and Azumaya algebras*, J. Algebra **27** (1973), 117–125.
2. N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre commutative*, Ch. 2, Hermann, Paris, 1961.
3. M. Artin, *On Azumaya algebras and finite dimensional representations of rings*, J. Algebra **11** (1969), 532–563.
4. E. Formanek, *Central polynomials for matrix rings*, J. Algebra **23** (1972), 129–132.
5. E. Formanek, *Noetherian PI-rings* (to appear).
6. C. Procesi, *On a theorem of M. Artin*, J. Algebra **22** (1972), 306–309.
7. Ju. P. Razmyslov, *On the Kaplansky problem* (Russian), Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR, Ser. Mat. **37** (1973), 483–501.
8. L. H. Rowen, *On rings with central polynomials*, J. Algebra **31** (1974), 393–426.
9. G. Cauchon, *Anneaux semi-premiers, noetheriens, à identités polynômiales* (to appear).

INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS  
THE HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM  
JERUSALEM, ISRAEL